

## VSP Public Comment

---

**From:** foodandfue@speakeasy.net  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 25, 2005 11:03 AM  
**To:** Secretary of State, Constituent Affairs  
**Cc:** McDannold, Bruce  
**Subject:** Standards for AVVPAT

Should California reject the proposed Diebold system? Vote=Yes

A democracy requires that there be a clear, accurate paper account of all votes submitted. Anything less is unacceptable.

If electronic devices can be tampered with, our national security is in jeopardy at any time that there is no paper trail.

This is very serious and a necessary investment.

It is also unacceptable for the CEO of the company making the electronic voting machines to be affiliated in any way with any of the candidates. As long as there exists a conflict of interest, there will be a question about the legitimacy of the election. Democracy is best taught by example, and frankly I have serious doubts about our system. Please accept nothing less than 12 point type in permanent ink with each vote on its own paper so that it may be efficiently recounted if necessary.

Citizens of California are counting on your integrity and attention to this matter.

Mrs. Julie Green  
300 Monterey Road  
Pacifica, CA 94044

### Citizen Proposed Standards:

The AVVPAT shall be printed on single sheet non-thermal at least 16 pound paper, one record of vote per sheet.

Every recorded vote, no matter how recorded, shall have a AVVPAT copy.

The AVVPAT record of the vote shall be printed in a minimum of 12 point font.

The AVVPAT shall be printed and organized to be easily read by both the voter and election officials.

The AVVPAT during the 1% manual audit and any recount shall be physically verified and hand counted only.

The recorded vote choices on the AVVPAT shall not be audited or recounted by automatic or electronic methods.

There shall not be a method by which any particular voting record can be connected to any particular voter.

Any AVVPAT spoiled or rejected by a voter because of a voting system error shall not be counted as a spoiled ballot under the two spoiled ballots limit.

No remote access to voting machines by wireless or internet.